

Electromagnetic Design of flexIble SensOrs



Raport 1. Multipoint Reduction Approach for Non-Affine Right Hand Side Problems

dr eng. Grzegorz Fotyga February 2, 2018





European Union European Regional Development Fund



The "EDISOn - Electromagnetic Design of flexIbleSensOrs" project, agreement no TEAM TECH/2016-1/6, is carried out within the TEAM-TECH programme of the Foundation for Polish Science co-financed by the European Union under the European Regional Development Fund.

| Revision | Date | $\operatorname{Author}(s)$ | Description |
|----------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| 1.0 | 22.08.2017 | G. Fotyga | created |
| 2.0 | 23.10.2017 | G. Fotyga | created |

1 Introduction

Standard global multipoint model order reduction, enhanced by the error estimator tool [1] for finite element method problems is performed on the system of equations of the following form:

$$(\mathbf{\Gamma} + s\mathbf{G} + s^2\mathbf{C})\mathbf{E}(s) = s\mathbf{B}\mathbf{I},\tag{1}$$

where:

$$\mathbf{B} = c\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}/(Z_P^{1/2}).\tag{2}$$

The approach [1] requires, that the right hand side of (1) – matrix \mathbf{B} is constant, while the port impedance (Z_P) depends on s. Therefore, approach [1] can be applied in the analysis of the structures excited through f.e. homogeneous waveguide ports or coaxial lines. In the case of micro-strip lines and inhomogeneous ports one has to derive a novel error-estimator, which takes into account, that the matrix $\mathbf{\tilde{B}}$ is frequency dependent (non-affine).

The goal of this report is to derive the multipoint reduction formulation for problems, with nonaffine RHS.

2 Standard error estimator (for affine RHS)

The goal-oriented error estimator is based on a residual error and is defined as follows:

$$E_s(s) = max_i \{\eta_i \cdot (\mathbf{b}_i)^T \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i(s)) / |2s\eta_i(\mathbf{b}_i)^T \cdot \mathbf{b}_i|\},\tag{3}$$

where \mathbf{r}_i is the i-th residual vector and \mathbf{b}_i is the i-th vector of the matrix \mathbf{B} . See [1] for details, eq. (24) and (25) – for slow and fast computation of an a-posteriori estimator, respectively. If the structure is excited by means of a single-mode, single-port, eq. (3) is simplified to:

$$E_s(s) = max\{\eta \cdot (\mathbf{b})^T \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s)) / |2s\eta(\mathbf{b})^T \cdot \mathbf{b}|\},\tag{4}$$

3 Error estimator (for non-affine RHS)

In the following parts of the rep. we assume, that the structure is excited by means of a single-port and single-mode. If the excitation exhibits non-affine nature (which means that **b** depends on s), one can express **b**(s) as a linear combination of few (N) vectors, since the field pattern at the ports is in general not strongly-dispersive:

$$\mathbf{b}(s) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}_j.$$
(5)

Note, that in order to obtain coefficients $a_j(s)$ and vectors \mathbf{b}_j one has to perform the Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) or Reduced Basis Method (RBM) for the whole frequency band. Let us also denote $\mathbf{b}_R = {\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \dots \mathbf{b}_N}$ and $\mathbf{a}_R(s) = {a_1(s) \ a_2(s) \dots a_N(s)}$:

$$\mathbf{b}(s) \approx \mathbf{b}_R \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s). \tag{6}$$

In effect, the frequency dependence is pushed to the scalar functions a(s) and the equation-to-bereduced exhibits affine nature.

Substituting (5) to (4) yields:

$$E(s) = max\{\eta \cdot (\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}))^T \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s)) / |2s\eta(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}))^T \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s})|\} \approx \approx max\{\eta \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^N a_j(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}_j)^T \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s)) / |2s\eta(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}_j)^T \cdot \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}_j|\} = = max\{\eta \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s)^T \cdot (\mathbf{b}_R^T \cdot \mathbf{r}(s)) / |2s\eta \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s)^T \cdot (\mathbf{b}_R^T \cdot \mathbf{b}_R) \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s)|\}.$$
(7)

Finally, the *fast* formula for the error estimator can be derived, taking into account that the residual vector is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{r}(s) = 2s\mathbf{b}(s) - \mathbf{\Gamma} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s\mathbf{b}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s)^T \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s^2 \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r,$$
(8)

where \mathbf{e}_r denotes N vectors of unknowns obtained from the reduced model:

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}} + s\widehat{\mathbf{G}} + s^2\widehat{\mathbf{C}})\mathbf{e}_r(s) = s(\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_R \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s)) \cdot \mathbf{I}.$$
(9)

Substituting (6) to (8) yields:

$$\mathbf{r}(s) = 2s(\mathbf{b}_R \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s)) - \mathbf{\Gamma} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s(\mathbf{b}_R \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s)) \cdot (\mathbf{b}_R \cdot \mathbf{a}_R(s))^T \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r - s^2 \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r.$$
(10)

Finally, the fast estimator formula reads:

$$E_{fast}(s) = max\{\eta \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{r}(s)) \\ /|2s\eta \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{R}) \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s)|\} = \\ max\{\eta \cdot (2s\mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{R}) \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s) - \\ \mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{r} - \\ s\mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{r} - \\ s\mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s)) \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s))^{T}\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{r} - \\ s^{2}\mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{r}) \\ /|2s\eta \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s)^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{b}_{R}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{R}) \cdot \mathbf{a}_{R}(s)|\}$$
(11)

4 Numerical tests

In the numerical test the three structures have been taken into account (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Structures: a) filter, b) coupler, c) Vivaldi antenna.



Figure 2: Filter plots.

| | Filter | Coupler | Antenna |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Number of Frequency point | 300 | 100 | 101 |
| Frequency bandwidth | 2-12 GHz | 0.6-2.4 GHz | 4-6 GHz |
| Number of expanding points | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Number of vectors in V | 54 | 36 | 21 |
| $\operatorname{Speedup}$ | 19.8 | 10.8 | 26 |
| Reduction time | $83.3 \mathrm{\ s}$ | $23.4 \mathrm{\ s}$ | $108.94~\mathrm{s}$ |



Figure 3: Coupler plots.

References

 Rewienski, Michal, Adam Lamecki, and Michal Mrozowski. "Greedy multipoint model-order reduction technique for fast computation of scattering parameters of electromagnetic systems." IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques 64.6 (2016): 1681-1693.



Figure 4: Antenna plots.